

# REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST

## PROPOSITION 22

In the past, the roles of California's local and state governments were balanced.  
But that balance has been destroyed.

Year after year, State Politicians abuse loopholes in the law to take away local taxpayer dollars now dedicated to local services.

The politicians redirect that local money to the State General Fund, where they spend it as they please.

State government keeps taking more and more, while our city and county services have been cut to the bone.

*We have to close the loopholes and stop State raids of our local taxpayer funds.*

### READ 22 FOR YOURSELF:

- Yes on 22 stops State Politicians from taking funds used for local government services like emergency 9-1-1 response, police, fire, libraries, parks and senior services.
- Yes on 22 stops State Politicians from taking gas taxes that voters have dedicated to transportation improvements.

### DON'T BE MISLED BY OPPONENTS' SCARE TACTICS.

Those opposed to 22 want State Politicians to be able to continue to take our local tax dollars. It's that simple.

FACT: 22 protects only *existing* local revenues and does not reduce the amount schools are guaranteed by the State Constitution. *Not even by one dime.*

**SUBJECT TO COURT  
ORDERED CHANGES**

FACT: The Peace Officers Research Association of California, representing 60,000 law enforcement personnel, the California Fire Chiefs, Fire Districts Association of California and the California Police Chiefs *support* 22 because it protects more than \$16 billion annually for local firefighting, law enforcement and 9-1-1 emergency response.

STOP State Politicians from Raiding Local Funds.

Vote YES on 22.

[www.SaveLocalServices.com](http://www.SaveLocalServices.com)

**DOUGLAS FRY**, President

Fire Chiefs Department, League of California Cities

**RON COTTINGHAM**, President

Peace Officers Research Association of California

**JANE LIGHT**, Librarian

San Jose Public Library

**SUBJECT TO COURT  
ORDERED CHANGES**